

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF	AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number 12mj70159HRL
v. JOSE ALVARENGA	, Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	represented by his attorney Cynthia	. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on February 21, 2012. a Lie AFPD. The United States was represented by Assistant U.S.
PART I. PRESUMPTION / / The defen		cribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been convicted
		on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense, and a date of conviction or the release of the person from imprisonment,
whichever is later.		
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.		
/ / There is probable cause based upon (the indictment) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the defendant		
has committed an offense		
A		apprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §
D	801 et seq., § 951 et seq., or § 95	a firearm during the commission of a felony.
B under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a firearm during the commission of a felony. This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.		
appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.		
No presur	nption applies.	CLE RICHARD W.
No presumption applies. PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE // The defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption presumption is an applicable presumption of the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption of the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption of the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption of the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption of the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption of the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption of the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption of the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption of the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption of the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption of the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption of the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption of the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption of the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption of the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption of the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption of the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption of the defendant has not come forward with the defendant has not come forward with the defendant has not come forward with the defendant has not com		
/ / The defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption of the IFORMA		
therefore will be ordered detained.		
/ / The defendant has come forward with evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit: .		
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.		
PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPLICABLE)		
The United States has proved to a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will		
reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required, AND/OR		
/ / The United States has proved by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will		
reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION		
/ The Court has taken into account the factors set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at		
the hearing and finds as follows:		
/ Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have waived written findings.		
PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION		
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a		
corrections facility separate to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal.		
The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the		
United States or on the request of an attorney for the Government, the person in the large of the corrections facility shall deliver the		
defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.		
Dated:	-	1 thing
	I	HOWARD R. LILOYD /

United States Magistrate Judge

AUSA ____, ATTY _____, PTS ____